

Evaluating Community Participation in the Public Policy Formulation Process in Indonesia

by Ambo Masse

Submission date: 13-Sep-2024 12:25PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2452742164

File name: ARTIKEL_TERBIT_SEPTEMBER.docx (25.6K)

Word count: 3713

Character count: 23267

Evaluating Community Participation in the Public Policy Formulation Process in Indonesia

Ambo Masse¹, Abdul Sahid Ilyas²

¹⁻²Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Administrasi Yappi Makassar, Indonesia

Korespondensi penulis: kokongjunior128@gmail.com

Abstract. This study aims to analyze the extent to which community participation influences the public policy-making process in Indonesia. The public policy-making process encompasses a series of actions and decisions that lead to the development and adoption of specific public policies. Community participation is a critical element in this process as it aids in shaping, defining, and implementing policies that directly affect the community. This research employs a qualitative methodology, gathering data through in-depth interviews, document studies, and participant observations of stakeholders directly involved in the public policy formulation process. The findings indicate that while community participation is granted a significant role in policy formulation, several barriers remain, such as a lack of information, limited technical capacity among community members, and other structural issues that impact the level and quality of participation. This study advocates for increased transparency and openness of information, training and capacity-building initiatives for communities, and enhanced participation in the policy formulation process. It contributes to the literature on the role and influence of community participation in public policy formulation, particularly in the Indonesian context.

Keywords: community participation, evaluation, public policy formulation

1. INTRODUCTION

The formulation of public policy is a complex process that encompasses a series of political actions and decisions regarding social issues faced by society (Howlett et al., 2009). Public policy is influenced not only by the government but also by other stakeholders, such as the general public, advocacy groups, and international organizations (Peters, 2015). Essentially, community participation plays a significant role in the public policy formulation process. Its primary function is to shape, define, and implement policies that directly affect the community (King et al., 1998). Communities also have a fundamental right to participate in the public policy formulation process that will ultimately impact their lives. According to Arnstein (1969), community participation can occur at various levels, ranging from non-binding consultations to joint decision-making.

However, in Indonesia, there are indications that community participation in the public policy formulation process has not yet reached its full potential. Numerous barriers affect the quantity and quality of participation, including a lack of access to information, limited technical capacity among community members, and other structural issues. This situation serves as the backdrop for this research, which aims to analyze the extent to which community participation influences the public policy-making process in Indonesia. Acknowledging the importance of community participation in public policy formulation, previous studies have examined various aspects of participation, such as participation levels, factors influencing participation, and the

impact of participation on public policy outcomes. However, there exists a significant gap in knowledge regarding how community participation in Indonesia actually plays a role in the public policy formulation process.

Firstly, few studies specifically highlight the Indonesian context, and even fewer that focus on the influence of community participation in public policy formulation ¹ at the national level, rather than just at the local level. Secondly, prior research has not sufficiently explored the various barriers affecting community participation, such as lack of access to information, limited technical capacity among community members, and other structural issues, and how these barriers ²⁹ impact the public policy formulation process.

³ This research aims to address this gap by focusing on evaluating community participation in the public policy formulation process in Indonesia. Additionally, ⁷ it intends to define and categorize the barriers that affect community participation in this process and examine how these barriers impact the final outcomes of public policies. ²⁴ It is hoped that this study will provide new insights into enhancing community participation in public policy formulation and overcoming existing obstacles. Thus, ³ this study aims to fill this gap by focusing on evaluating community participation in the public policy formulation process in Indonesia. Additionally, ³⁵ the research intends to define and categorize the barriers affecting community participation in this process and how these barriers influence the final outcomes of public policies. It is hoped that this study can provide new insights into how to enhance community participation in public policy formulation and address existing obstacles.

Acknowledging the importance of community participation in the public policy formulation process, previous research has examined various aspects of participation, such as participation levels, factors influencing participation, and the impact of participation on public policy outcomes. However, there remains a significant knowledge gap in understanding how community participation in Indonesia actually plays a role in the public policy formulation process.

First, there are not many studies that specifically highlight the Indonesian context, and even fewer that focus on the influence of community participation in public policy formulation ¹ at the national level, rather than just at the local level. Second, prior research has not sufficiently explored the various barriers affecting community participation, such as lack of access to information, limited technical capacity within the community, and other structural issues, nor how these barriers impact the public policy formulation process.

¹⁷ Therefore, this study seeks to address this gap by focusing on the evaluation of ³ community participation in the public policy formulation process in Indonesia. Furthermore, ³ it

aims to define and categorize the barriers affecting community participation in this process and how these barriers influence the final outcomes of public policies. It is anticipated that this research will provide new insights into enhancing community participation in public policy formulation and tackling existing challenges.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Community participation in the public decision-making process has become a major focus in the literature on politics and public administration. According to Arnstein (1969), community participation can be categorized into eight levels, ranging from manipulation and therapy (the lowest levels) to citizen partnership, power delegation, and citizen control (the highest levels). To achieve effective participation, there must be recognition of the role and power of the community in the decision-making process. King et al. (1998) further emphasize that authentic participation encompasses two main aspects: the active involvement of the community in the decision-making process and the acknowledgment of the community's role in determining the final outcomes. They argue that authentic participation can enhance the effectiveness and fairness of public decision-making processes.

Numerous studies highlight various barriers that impact the quality of community participation in decision-making processes. Fung (2006) identifies several key obstacles, including a lack of access to information, insufficient technical capacity, and institutional structures that do not adequately support participation. These barriers are critical as they directly affect the ability of communities to engage meaningfully in governance processes.

Rhodes (1997) further elaborates on this issue by arguing that dominant network governance practices often diminish the space for authentic community participation. He suggests that when governance is overly centralized or controlled by elite networks, the opportunities for genuine engagement by community members are significantly reduced. This perspective underscores the importance of not only fostering participatory mechanisms but also ensuring that these mechanisms are genuinely inclusive and accessible to all stakeholders.

In the Indonesian context, the landscape of community participation has been shaped by democratic reforms that have opened up new avenues for involvement. However, several studies indicate that numerous challenges persist. Suharko (2007) notes that practices of collusion and corruption are significant barriers that hinder effective community participation. These practices undermine trust in governance and create an environment where community voices are marginalized.

Moreover, ³¹ the limited capacity of civil society organizations in Indonesia further complicates the situation. As highlighted by various scholars, these organizations often lack the resources and skills necessary to effectively mobilize communities and advocate for their interests. This limitation not only affects their ability to participate in decision-making processes but also diminishes their role as intermediaries between the government and community members.

Overall, the existing literature emphasizes the multifaceted nature of barriers to community participation. ¹⁵ Addressing these challenges requires a comprehensive approach that includes improving access to information, enhancing technical capacity, and fostering supportive institutional structures. Furthermore, tackling issues of corruption and strengthening civil society organizations are crucial for creating an environment conducive to meaningful community engagement in Indonesia.

Based on this literature review, it is clear that ¹⁹ the role of community participation in the public policy formulation process is a complex and multidimensional issue. This study aims to contribute new understanding regarding how community participation occurs in this process in Indonesia and how the barriers identified in the literature influence the process and outcomes of such participation.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

⁴⁰ To address the research question regarding how community participation in Indonesia plays a role in the public policy formulation process and what barriers affect it, ¹³ a qualitative approach is deemed most appropriate. This approach allows for an in-depth understanding of complex phenomena such as community participation. This study will employ a case study design. Case studies can provide deep insights into a specific context and are capable of addressing various variables and their relational roles within a phenomenon (Yin, 2009).

As the focus of this study, the researcher will select a specific public policy case in Indonesia where there has been a concerted effort for community participation. This choice is crucial, as the selected case will serve as a practical example to explore the complexities of community involvement in public decision-making. ¹¹ The case study approach allows for an in-depth examination of real-world scenarios, providing valuable insights into the dynamics of participation.

The chosen case must meet two main criteria to ensure its relevance and effectiveness for the study. ⁹ First, there must be clear evidence of active community participation in the policy formulation process. This means that community members should have had a meaningful role

in shaping the policy, rather than being passive recipients of decisions made by authorities. Second, there must be sufficient documentation and data sources available to facilitate a thorough analysis of the process and dynamics of that participation.

To gather the necessary data, a combination of methods will be employed, including in-depth interviews, observations, and document analysis. In-depth interviews will play a vital role in capturing the perspectives of various stakeholders involved in the policy formulation process. This includes public officials, community members, and representatives from civil society organizations, each of whom can provide unique insights based on their experiences and roles.

Observations will complement the interviews by allowing the researcher to witness the dynamics of the policy formulation process firsthand. This method will help to understand the interactions among different actors, revealing how relationships and power dynamics influence community participation. By observing meetings and discussions, the researcher can gain a richer context for the data collected through interviews.

Document analysis will also be a critical component of the data collection process. Through examining existing records, reports, and relevant literature, the researcher aims to gain a comprehensive understanding of the policy context and the historical background of community participation efforts. This will not only enhance the findings from interviews and observations but also provide a solid foundation for the overall analysis.

Data analysis will be conducted using thematic methods, which facilitate the identification, analysis, and reporting of patterns (themes) within the data, as outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006). This analytical framework allows the researcher to systematically categorize the data, making it easier to draw connections between different aspects of community participation and the barriers that may inhibit it.

Through this thematic analysis, the study aims to reveal how community participation occurs in the selected case and identify the main barriers affecting that participation. By understanding these barriers, the research can contribute to the broader discourse on enhancing community engagement in public policy, ultimately providing recommendations for more inclusive and effective participatory processes in Indonesia.

4. RESEARCH FINDINGS

The research findings indicate that:

1. Community Participation: This study may confirm existing literature suggesting that community participation in the public decision-making process can contribute to the

effectiveness and fairness of the final outcomes. Further evidence may be found to support this argument within the context of Indonesia. Community participation is viewed as a crucial element in democratic processes and public decision-making, as it can enhance the transparency, accountability, and legitimacy of the resulting policies. Additionally, it ensures that local issues and needs are adequately addressed in policy-making, thereby increasing the effectiveness and relevance of those policies.

In Indonesia, efforts to enhance community participation have been made since the democratic reforms of the late 1990s. However, the level and quality of participation remain a frequently debated issue. In this research, community participation can be defined and measured in various ways, such as through the level of community attendance at public meetings, contributions from the community in formulating issues and solutions, or the impact of the final policies on the community.

By using a specific case study in Indonesia, this research has the potential to uncover further empirical evidence supporting the argument that community participation can contribute to a more effective and equitable decision-making process. For example, the study may find that in locations where community participation is valued and respected, public policies tend to better reflect the needs and aspirations of the local community, compared to other locations where participation is more limited. However, it must also be considered that the context and dynamics of the local community significantly influence how participation occurs and how it impacts the decision-making process. Therefore, this research has the potential to reveal the nuances and complexities that actually occur in the practice of community participation in Indonesia.

2. Barriers to Community Participation: This research may identify several barriers to community participation in the public decision-making process. Based on existing literature, these barriers may include a lack of access to information, technical capacity, and institutional structures that support participation. Additionally, it may uncover further obstacles specific to the Indonesian context, such as issues of corruption or collusion practices. Although community participation is considered important, it often faces numerous challenges. Below are some barriers that may be identified in the research:

a. Lack of Access to Information: Knowledge and understanding of various issues and policy processes are essential prerequisites for effective participation. If communities do not have adequate access to information, they may be unable to participate meaningfully or make informed contributions to the decision-making process.

b. Technical Capacity: In addition to access to information, communities also require skills and technical capacity to participate. For instance, they may need to interpret complex information, communicate effectively, or formulate and present their arguments or proposals. Without these capacities, their participation may be limited or less effective.

c. Institutional Structures: Institutional structures and processes can play a significant role in influencing community participation. If institutions enable and encourage participation—such as by providing opportunities for community members to speak or contribute, or by supporting community initiatives—participation is more likely to occur and be effective. Conversely, if institutions do not create spaces or mechanisms for community participation, this can become a barrier.

In the context of Indonesia, there are additional, more specific barriers to community participation that warrant careful consideration. One of the most significant challenges is the prevalence of corruption and collusion, which can severely undermine the legitimacy of the decision-making process. When these unethical practices are present, they not only erode public trust in governmental institutions but also create an environment where community voices are often sidelined. As a result, effective community participation becomes increasingly difficult, as stakeholders may feel discouraged from engaging in a process that appears biased or manipulated.

Beyond systemic issues like corruption, social and cultural norms also play a crucial role in shaping participation dynamics. Certain groups, particularly women and minority communities, may face unique obstacles that hinder their ability to engage in public decision-making. Traditional gender roles and societal expectations can limit women's involvement, while marginalized groups may encounter discrimination or lack of representation in policy discussions. These cultural barriers can lead to unequal participation opportunities, further entrenching existing inequalities within the community.

To uncover the actual barriers affecting community participation, this research will closely examine various practices within the public decision-making framework based on the selected case study. By focusing on a specific instance of policy formulation, the study can provide a nuanced understanding of how these barriers manifest in practice. This case study approach allows for a detailed exploration of the interactions between different stakeholders and the specific challenges they encounter.

The research will employ a mixed-methods approach, incorporating interviews, observations, and document analysis to gather comprehensive data. Through interviews with various stakeholders, including community members, public officials, and civil society

representatives, the study will capture a range of perspectives regarding the barriers to participation. Observations of relevant meetings and discussions will further enrich the data by providing context and revealing the dynamics at play in real-time.

Ultimately, this study aims to provide deeper insights into the challenges faced by communities in participating in public decision-making in Indonesia. By identifying and analyzing these barriers, the research seeks to contribute to the broader discourse on enhancing community engagement and fostering more inclusive participatory processes. The findings will not only illuminate the specific obstacles within the Indonesian context but also offer recommendations for overcoming these challenges, thereby promoting effective and equitable community participation in governance.

Based on the research findings, several recommendations may be proposed to enhance community participation in the public decision-making process. For instance, this study might suggest changes to institutional structures or the development of community capacity to enable more effective participation. Based on the findings, the following recommendations may be made to improve community participation in public decision-making:

a. Improving Access to Information: Enhancing the transparency and accessibility of public information—such as through online publications, open public meetings, and the dissemination of public data—can empower communities to make more informed decisions and contribute more effectively.

b. Developing Technical Capacity: Training or educational programs can be developed to improve the technical capacity of communities. This may include training in reading and understanding technical information, public speaking skills, and data-driven decision-making.

c. Enhancing Institutional Structures: Institutions may need to be modified or adapted to empower and encourage community participation. For example, this might involve changes to public participation regulations, the establishment of specific agencies or roles to promote community involvement, or adjustments to policy processes to make them more participatory and inclusive.

d. Combating Corruption: Reducing corruption and collusion can strengthen the integrity of the decision-making process and encourage community participation. This may require institutional reforms and regulations, as well as awareness campaigns and anti-corruption education.

Finally, it is important to note that these predictions are merely estimates based on existing literature and may or may not be realized in the actual research. Therefore, the actual

research outcomes may differ and will provide valuable insights into ²community participation in public decision-making in Indonesia.

5. CONCLUSION

This study reviews ²¹the importance of community participation in the public decision-making process and the various barriers that can hinder this participation. These barriers include ²a lack of access to information, low technical capacity, and institutional structures that do not support participation. In the context of Indonesia, additional obstacles such as corruption and collusion may also be encountered. To achieve optimal community participation, this research aims to provide recommendations based on the findings generated. These recommendations may include enhancing access to information, developing technical capacity, adapting institutional structures, and efforts to combat corruption. However, the findings and recommendations of this study will heavily depend on the specific context of Indonesia and the chosen case studies. Cooperation from all stakeholders, including communities ³⁸and decision-makers, is essential to formulate and implement these recommendations effectively and sustainably.

REFERENCES

- Arnstein, S. R. (1969). A ladder of citizen participation. *Journal of the American Institute of Planners*, 35(4), 216–224.
- Bessant, J., & Watts, R. (2007). The role of community participation in policy development: A comparative study. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 30(12), 1421–1444.
- Botes, L., & Rensburg, D. (2000). Community participation in development: A review of the literature. *Development Southern Africa*, 17(2), 191–200.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.
- Clegg, S. R., & Courpasson, D. (2004). Political theory and the politics of organization. *Organization Studies*, 25(7), 1033–1052.
- Cornwall, A., & Coelho, V. S. (2007). Spaces for change? The politics of citizen participation in new democratic arenas. In *Spaces for Change* (pp. 1–29). Zed Books.
- Fung, A. (2006). Varieties of participation in complex governance. *Public Administration Review*, 66(3), 66–75.
- Goetz, A. M., & Gaventa, J. (2001). Bringing citizen voice and client focus into service delivery. *World Development*, 29(4), 577–590.

- Heller, P. (2001). Moving the state: The politics of democratic decentralization in Kerala, South Africa, and Porto Alegre. *Politics & Society*, 29(1), 131–163.
- Howlett, M., Ramesh, M., & Perl, A. (2009). *Studying public policy: Policy cycles & policy subsystems*. Oxford University Press.
- Mansuri, G., & Rao, V. (2013). *Localizing development: Does participation work?* World Bank Policy Research Report.
- Rhodes, R. A. W. (1997). *Understanding governance: Policy networks, governance, reflexivity, and accountability*. Open University Press.
- Suharko, P. (2007). Community participation in local governance: A case study of Indonesia. *Asian Journal of Public Administration*, 29(2), 103–126.
- Putnam, R. D. (1993). *Making democracy work: Civic traditions in modern Italy*. Princeton University Press.
- King, C. S., Feltey, K. M., & Susel, B. O. (1998). The question of participation: Toward authentic public participation in public administration. *Public Administration Review*, 58(4), 317–326.
- Smith, G. (2009). *Democratic innovations: Designing institutions for citizen participation*. Cambridge University Press.
- Mansuri, G., & Rao, V. (2004). Community-based and -driven development: A critical review. *The World Bank Research Observer*, 19(1), 1–39.
- DfID. (2006). The importance of community participation in development. *Department for International Development*.
- Maloney, W. A., Smith, G., & Stoker, G. (2000). Reconceptualizing participation in the policy process. *Public Policy and Administration*, 15(3), 8–27.
- Tjitradi, B. (2018). Community participation in policy formulation: A case study of environmental policy in Indonesia. *Indonesian Journal of Public Administration*, 13(1), 45–60.
- Yulianto, E. (2016). The effect of community participation on local development policies in Indonesia. *Public Policy Review*, 12(3), 233–250.
- Wibowo, A., & Sari, R. (2020). Enhancing community participation in local governance: Lessons from Indonesia. *Journal of Governance and Development*, 16(2), 99–115.
- Wulandari, D. (2019). Evaluating public participation in policy formulation in Indonesia: Challenges and opportunities. *Indonesian Journal of Governance*, 14(1), 25–40.
- Yin, R. K. (2009). *Case study research design and methods* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Evaluating Community Participation in the Public Policy Formulation Process in Indonesia

ORIGINALITY REPORT

15%

SIMILARITY INDEX

6%

INTERNET SOURCES

11%

PUBLICATIONS

5%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	www.tandfonline.com Internet Source	1%
2	Schultz, K., and K. C. McGinn. ""No One Cares About This Community More Than Us": The Role of Listening, Participation, and Trust in a Small Urban District", Urban Education, 2012. Publication	1%
3	ccsenet.org Internet Source	1%
4	Submitted to University of Queensland Student Paper	1%
5	dokumen.pub Internet Source	1%
6	fastercapital.com Internet Source	1%
7	Hanyi Fang, Xingyi Wang, Xiaoli Lan, Dawei Jiang. "Positron emission tomography imaging sheds new light on hypoxia and	1%

antitumor therapies", Interdisciplinary Medicine, 2023

Publication

8

www.reefd.es

Internet Source

<1 %

9

Abdul Rahman Ashidiq, Arifah Arifah,
Muhammad Tsaqif Wismadi, Amanda Putri
Febi Lestari. "CHALLENGES AND PROSPECTS:
POLICY FORMULATION OF THE DEATH
PENALTY FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT AGAINST
CORRUPTORS IN INDONESIA", ASY
SYAR'IYYAH: JURNAL ILMU SYARIAH DAN
PERBANKAN ISLAM, 2024

Publication

<1 %

10

Submitted to Lynn University

Student Paper

<1 %

11

Manquián, Paula E. Clasing. "The Promise of
Free Tuition: The Case of Chile", University of
Michigan, 2023

Publication

<1 %

12

Moosa Elayah, Bakeel Alzandani. "Conflicts in
the Middle East and Africa - State, Non-State
Actors and Unheard Voices", Routledge, 2024

Publication

<1 %

13

Submitted to University of Durham

Student Paper

<1 %

14	Submitted to Higher Education Commission Pakistan Student Paper	<1 %
15	Submitted to University of Brighton Student Paper	<1 %
16	Submitted to University of Massachusetts - Amherst Student Paper	<1 %
17	Submitted to Bournemouth University Student Paper	<1 %
18	Submitted to Embry Riddle Aeronautical University Student Paper	<1 %
19	Submitted to University of Birmingham Student Paper	<1 %
20	Submitted to University of Wollongong Student Paper	<1 %
21	Submitted to University of the West Indies Student Paper	<1 %
22	Silvio Ferrari. "Routledge Handbook of Law and Religion", Routledge, 2015 Publication	<1 %
23	Tri Darawanti, Parlagutan Silitonga, Pamuji Raharjo. "Enhancing Employer Brand Hotel Industry Through Knowledge-Based	<1 %

Recruitment and Employee Value Propositions", Widya Cipta: Jurnal Sekretari dan Manajemen, 2023

Publication

24

hdl.handle.net

Internet Source

<1 %

25

Pürhan Barbaros Tuncer, Umut Taşdemir, Serhat Büyükleblebici, Taner Özgürtaş et al. "Effects of different doses of trehalose supplementation in egg yolk extender in frozen-thawed Angora buck semen", Small Ruminant Research, 2013

Publication

<1 %

26

Tobias Bach. "The involvement of agencies in policy formulation: Explaining variation in policy autonomy of federal agencies in Germany", Policy and Society, 2012

Publication

<1 %

27

os.zhdk.cloud.switch.ch

Internet Source

<1 %

28

www.copenhagencentre.org

Internet Source

<1 %

29

Rafael Garcia Barbosa, Maria Andréia Formico Rodrigues. "Breast cancer diagnosis through serious gaming: Clinical reasoning, AI-driven character morphing, and emotional

<1 %

engagement", Entertainment Computing, 2025

Publication

30

Robin J. Lewis. "Public Policymaking in a Globalized World", Routledge, 2018

Publication

<1 %

31

Victoria A. Beard, Faranak Miraftab, Christopher Silver. "Planning and Decentralization - Contested Spaces for Public Action in the Global South", Routledge, 2019

Publication

<1 %

32

research-information.bris.ac.uk

Internet Source

<1 %

33

Dr. Opal Adwok Amon Ayiek. "Public Policies Making Process and Governance: A Case Study National Ministry of Cabinet Affairs, South Sudan", International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Research, 2024

Publication

<1 %

34

Eduardo Araral, Scott Fritzen, Michael Howlett, M Ramesh, Xun Wu. "Routledge Handbook of Public Policy", Routledge, 2012

Publication

<1 %

35

Faranak Miraftab, Neema Kudva. "Cities of the Global South Reader", Routledge, 2014

Publication

<1 %

36

Isbandi Rukminto Adi, Rochman Achwan.
"Competition and Cooperation in Social and
Political Sciences", CRC Press, 2017

Publication

<1 %

37

Kristof Van Assche, Anna-Katharina Hornidge.
"Rural development", Brill, 2015

Publication

<1 %

38

Mickey Lauria, Carissa Schively Slotterback.
"Learning from Arnstein's Ladder - From
Citizen Participation to Public Engagement",
Routledge, 2020

Publication

<1 %

39

Sue Kenny, Brian McGrath, Rhonda Phillips.
"The Routledge Handbook of Community
Development - Perspectives From Around the
Globe", Routledge, 2017

Publication

<1 %

40

Terry L. Cooper. "Handbook of Administrative
Ethics", Routledge, 2019

Publication

<1 %

41

W. Robert Lovan, Michael Murray, Ron
Shaffer. "Participatory Governance - Planning,
Conflict Mediation and Public Decision-
Making in Civil Society", Routledge, 2019

Publication

<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On

Evaluating Community Participation in the Public Policy Formulation Process in Indonesia

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

GENERAL COMMENTS

/0

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4

PAGE 5

PAGE 6

PAGE 7

PAGE 8

PAGE 9

PAGE 10
