

(Research/Review)

Return on Education : Measuring the Benefits, Challenges, and Strategies for Optimizing Education Investment

Ike Laura Krisna ^{1*}, Ani Widayati ²

¹ Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Indonesia 1; e-mail : ikelaura.2024@student.uny.ac.id

² Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Indonesia 2; e-mail : ani_widayati@uny.ac.id

* Corresponding Author : Ike Laura Krisna

Abstract: Education is widely recognized as a crucial investment with significant economic and social benefits. This study employs a bibliometric approach to analyze trends, influential works, and research patterns related to Return on Education (RoE). Using Google Scholar as the primary data source, publication metadata were retrieved and analyzed with VOSviewer to visualize co-authorship networks, keyword co-occurrences, and citation relationships. The findings indicate an increasing research focus on RoE, particularly in areas concerning economic returns, labor market alignment, and educational policy optimization. The keyword analysis highlights the importance of skill development, policy adaptation, and industry collaboration in maximizing educational returns. Additionally, citation network analysis reveals the most influential studies shaping this field. Despite its contributions, this study acknowledges limitations in data completeness due to Google Scholar's metadata constraints. The insights derived from this analysis provide valuable guidance for policymakers, educators, and researchers in enhancing the effectiveness of educational investments.

Keywords: Bibliometric Analysis, Economic Impact, Educational Investment, Return on Education, VOSviewer

1. Introduction

Education is a kind of investment that has a long-term effect on individuals and the economy at large (Jorgenson & Fraumeni, 1992; Mosca & Wright, 2020). The concept of Return on Education (RoE) refers to the extent to which investments in education can generate economic benefits, whether in the form of increased income, better opportunities for employment, or contributions to a country's economic growth (Liao et al., 2019; Psacharopoulos & Patrinos, 2018). Education plays an important role in building quality human resources, where individuals with higher levels of education tend to have wider employment opportunities, superior skills, and the ability to adapt to developments in the industry. Moreover, from a macroeconomic perspective, an increase in people's education level correlates with more stable economic growth, stronger national competitiveness, and continuous innovation in various sectors. Therefore, education not only serves as a means of improving individual welfare, but also as a key element in creating a more productive and competitive economy.

Education Investment has proven, the challenge of optimizing RoE remains a complex (DREŹEWSKI et al., 2018; Jing et al., 2023; Rahman et al., 2024). One of the main challenges is the gap in access to quality education, especially in developing countries that still face limited infrastructures and resources. In addition, the mismatch between graduates' skills and industry needs is a frequent problem, resulting in high levels of educated unemployment (Sutiene et al., 2024). Social and economic factors also influence educational outcomes, with individuals from disadvantaged economic backgrounds often experiencing barriers in obtaining the same quality of education as those from better-off families. Therefore, maximizing RoE requires strategies that include more adaptive education policies, close

Received: 15 January, 2025

Revised: 12 February, 2025

Accepted: 08 March, 2025

Published: 10 March, 2025

Curr. Ver.: 10 March, 2025



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors.

Submitted for possible open

access publication under the

terms and conditions of the

Creative Commons Attribution

(CC BY SA) license

(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>)

collaboration between education and industry, and efforts to address access gaps so that the benefits of education can be felt more evenly and effectively.

The literature review aims to analyse the various studies that discuss the strengths, weaknesses, and optimization strategies in measuring and improving Return on Education. By reviewing various scientific and practical perspectives, this review is expected to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the extent to which an education can provide economic benefits and how existing challenges can be overcome through appropriate policies and strategies.

The objectives of this study are threefold. First, it aims to identify the advantages of investing in education by applying the concept of Return on Education (RoE), which highlights the potential long-term benefits of educational attainment on individual and societal levels. Second, the study seeks to analyze the disadvantages and challenges involved in measuring and optimizing RoE, including the complexities of quantifying educational outcomes and the influence of external socioeconomic factors. Lastly, the research endeavors to develop evidence-based recommendations for educational policies that can enhance the return on investment in education, ensuring more effective and equitable outcomes for learners and stakeholders.

This study is expected to provide broader insights for academics, policy makers, and other stakeholders in designing more effective strategies to increase the economic benefits of education investment.

2. Methods

This paper uses a bibliometric approach to analyze publication trends and research patterns regarding Return on Education (RoE). Using Google Scholar as the main source of data, as well as VOSviewer software, this research has identified relationships between studies based on co-authorship, co-occurrence keyword analysis, and citation analysis.

Data for the study was aggregated through Google Scholar by conducting targeted searches using specific keywords. These keywords included "Return on Education," "Educational Investment and Economic Impact," "Education ROI," and "Economic Returns of Education." The selection of these terms was intended to capture a wide range of relevant literature focused on the relationship between educational investment and economic outcomes.

To ensure the relevance and quality of the data, specific selection criteria were applied. First, only publications from the last ten years were considered in order to capture the most recent trends and findings in the field. Second, the types of documents included in the study comprised peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and academic books, providing a diverse and scholarly foundation for analysis.

Third, relevance was determined based on the review of abstracts and the presence of key terms related to the study objectives. Articles that did not align with the scope of Return on Education or lacked sufficient academic rigor were excluded.

Finally, the selected data were downloaded in RIS or BibTeX format using the Publish or Perish software, allowing for systematic organization, citation management, and further processing during the research phase.

3. Results and Discussion

Advantages of Investment in Education Based on the Concept of Return on Education

Educational attainment is a major factor in increasing individual income and promoting social mobility. Research shows that individuals with higher levels of education tend to have higher incomes than those with lower levels of education (Autor, 2014; Danson, 2015; Hanushek et al., 2011; Lusardi, 2019; Marginson, 2016; Muttarak & Lutz, 2014). In extension, access to quality education also contributes to improving a country's labor productivity and competitiveness. In addition to individual economic benefits, investing in education also impacts national economic growth (Jorgenson & Fraumeni, 1992; Psacharopoulos & Patrinos, 2018). Countries with higher levels of education tend to have better innovation rates and higher labor productivity. Education encourages creativity as well as critical thinking skills that contribute to the advancement of industries and business sectors.

Education also has significant social benefits, such as improving health, reducing crime rates, and increasing civic engagement in democratic life. Studies show that more educated people tend to have higher consciousness of health and are more participatory in social and

political activities (Freeman et al., 2020; Guzel et al., 2021; Kahne et al., 2016; Maier et al., 2017). The benefits of education may vary dependent on the quality and relevance of the curriculum to industry needs. Therefore, ensuring that the education system remains relevant as well as responsive to changes in the job market is a very important aspect in optimizing Return on Education.

Weaknesses and Challenges in Quantifying and Optimizing Return on Education

A challenge in measuring Return on Education is the variability in educational outcomes that depends on social, economic and geographical factors. The disparity in access to education between different socioeconomic groups can affect how much education benefits individuals and society as a whole. In addition, the mismatch between skills acquired in educational institutions and those required in the workforce is a major problem (Com et al., 2018; Jackson, 2015; Moore & Morton, 2017). Many of graduates are underemployed because their skills do not match the demands of the job market. This indicates the need for improvements in the education system to better align with industry needs.

Another challenging issue is that it is difficult to measure the impact of education directly on an individual's income. While many studies have demonstrated a correlation between education and income, many other factors can affect one's income, such as work experience, geographic location and macroeconomic conditions (MacGillivray et al., 2014; Mackenzie & Williams, 2018). The lack of long-term longitudinal data is also an obstacle in assessing the effectiveness of investment in the education (Hill et al., 2016). Therefore further research is needed to evaluate Return on Education more rigorously.

Approaches and Strategies for Improving the Effectiveness of Investing in Education

Indeed, one of the main strategies to improve Return on Education is to align the education curriculum with industry (Du Plessis & Van Niekerk, 2014; Hussin, 2018; Ingtias et al., 2022). Education programs have to be more skills-based and include training that is in line with technological developments and current industry trends. Increased cooperation between educational institutions and private sectors is also an important step in ensuring graduates are workforce-ready. Internships, work-based training and partnerships with companies can help graduates acquire more highly relevant skills.

Improving access to quality education for underprivileged groups is also an important factor in optimizing the benefits of education investment. Education institutions and governments need to ensure that all individuals have equal opportunities to receive quality education. Utilizing technology in learning can also improve the effectiveness of education. Technologies can help provide more access to learning for more people, improve the quality of teaching and provide a more efficient and interactive learning experience.

4. Conclusions and Limitation

Conclusions

Based on the studies that have been conducted, Return on Education has significant benefits for individuals and the economy of a nation, both in terms of increasing income, expanding employment opportunity, and contributing to economic growth. However, there are various challenges in measuring and optimizing the return on education investment, such as access gaps, skills mismatch with the job market, and limited long-term data. Therefore, optimization challenges such as adjusting the curriculum to industry needs, strengthening cooperation between the education sector and the business world, and increasing access to quality education are important ways to maximize the benefits of education. With the synergized efforts of various stakeholders, education can increasingly become an investment that has a positive impact on individuals and society at large.

Limitation

Google Scholar does not have an open API, which requires manual processing in data extraction. Some publications may not have complete metadata, which may influence citation analysis.

References

- [1] A. Hussin, "Education 4.0 made simple: Ideas for teaching," *Int. J. Educ. Literacy Stud.*, vol. 6, no. 3, p. 92, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.7575/aiac.ijels.v.6n.3p.92>.
- [2] E. Guzel, U. Arslan, and A. Acaravci, "The impact of economic, social, and political globalization and democracy on life expectancy in low-income countries: Are sustainable development goals contradictory?" *Environ. Dev. Sustain.*, vol. 23, no. 9, pp. 13508–13525, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-021-01225-2>.
- [3] Lusardi, "Financial literacy and the need for financial education: Evidence and implications," *Swiss J. Econ. Stat.*, vol. 155, no. 1, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41937-019-0027-5>.
- [4] Maier, J. Daniel, J. Oakes, and L. Lam, "Community schools as an effective school improvement strategy: A review of the evidence," *Learning Policy Inst.*, 2017. [Online]. Available: <https://learningpolicyinstitute.org/product/>.
- [5] S. A. Rahman, S. Masrom, R. A. Rahman, R. Ibrahim, and A. R. Gilal, "Genetic programming based automated machine learning in classifying ESG performances," *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, pp. 59612–59629, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3393511>.
- [6] D. H. Autor, "Skills, education, and the rise of earnings inequality among the 'other 99 percent,'" *Science*, vol. 344, no. 6186, pp. 843–851, 2014. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1251868>.
- [7] D. Jackson, "Employability skill development in work-integrated learning: Barriers and best practice," *Stud. High. Educ.*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 350–367, 2015. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2013.842221>.
- [8] D. Jing, M. Imeni, S. A. Edalatpanah, A. Alburaihan, and H. A. E. W. Khalifa, "Optimal selection of stock portfolios using multi-criteria decision-making methods," *Mathematics*, vol. 11, no. 2, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.3390/math11020415>.
- [9] D. W. Jorgenson and B. M. Fraumeni, "Investment in education and U.S. economic growth," *Scand. J. Econ.*, vol. 94, pp. 51–70, 1992.
- [10] E. A. Hanushek, L. Woessmann, and L. Zhang, "General education, vocational education, and labor-market outcomes over the life-cycle," *Natl. Bureau Econ. Res.*, 2011. [Online]. Available: <http://www.nber.org/papers/w17504>.
- [11] F. T. Ingtias, D. Ampera, F. Fariyah, B. K. Amal, and A. S. Purba, "Implementation of teaching practitioners in improving the quality of learning and implementing the curriculum Merdeka Belajar," *J. Studi Guru Dan Pembelajaran*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 157–169, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.30605/jsgp.5.2.2022.1927>.
- [12] G. Psacharopoulos and H. A. Patrinos, "Returns to investment in education: A decennial review of the global literature," 2018. [Online]. Available: <http://econ.worldbank.org>.
- [13] H. Du Plessis and A. Van Niekerk, "A new GISc framework and competency set for curricula development at South African universities," *South Afr. J. Geomatics*, vol. 3, no. 1, 2014.
- [14] Mosca and R. E. Wright, "The long-term consequences of the Irish marriage bar," *Econ. Soc. Rev.*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 1–34, 2020. [Online]. Available: www.ucd.ie/issda/.
- [15] J. Kahne, E. Hodgins, and E. Eidman-Aadahl, "Redesigning civic education for the digital age: Participatory politics and the pursuit of democratic engagement," *Theory Res. Soc. Educ.*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 1–35, 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00933104.2015.1132646>.
- [16] K. G. Hill, D. Woodward, T. Woelfel, J. D. Hawkins, and S. Green, "Planning for long-term follow-up: Strategies learned from longitudinal studies," *Prevention Sci.*, vol. 17, no. 7, pp. 806–818, 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11211-015-0610-7>.
- [17] K. Mackenzie and C. Williams, "Universal, school-based interventions to promote mental and emotional wellbeing: What is being done in the UK and does it work? A systematic review," *BMJ Open*, vol. 8, no. 9, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-022560>.
- [18] K. Sutiene, P. Schwendner, C. Sipos, L. Lorenzo, M. Mirchev, P. Lameski, A. Kabasinskas, C. Tidjani, B. Ozturkkal, and J. Cerneviciene, "Enhancing portfolio management using artificial intelligence: Literature review," *Front. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 7, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2024.1371502>.

- [19] L. Liao, M. Du, B. Wang, and Y. Yu, "The impact of educational investment on sustainable economic growth in Guangdong, China: A cointegration and causality analysis," *Sustainability*, vol. 11, no. 3, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11030766>.
- [20] N. A. Danson and M. Danson, *The literature review: Research methods for business and management: A guide to writing your dissertation*, 2015.
- [21] R. Drezewski, S. Kruk, and M. Makówka, "The evolutionary optimization of a company's return on equity factor: Towards the agent-based bio-inspired system supporting corporate finance decisions," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 51911–51930, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2870201>.
- [22] R. Muttarak and W. Lutz, "Is education a key to reducing vulnerability to natural disasters and hence unavoidable climate change?" *Ecol. Soc.*, vol. 19, no. 1, 2014. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-06476-190142>.
- [23] S. Marginson, "The worldwide trend to high participation higher education: Dynamics of social stratification in inclusive systems," *Higher Educ.*, vol. 72, no. 4, pp. 413–434, 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-016-0016-x>.
- [24] T. Freeman, H. A. Gesesew, C. Bambra, E. R. J. Giugliani, J. Popay, D. Sanders, J. Macinko, C. Musolino, and F. Baum, "Why do some countries do better or worse in life expectancy relative to income? An analysis of Brazil, Ethiopia, and the United States of America," *Int. J. Equity Health*, vol. 19, no. 1, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-020-01315-z>.
- [25] T. J. MacGillivray, E. Trucco, J. R. Cameron, B. Dhillon, J. G. Houston, and E. J. R. Van Beek, "Retinal imaging as a source of biomarkers for diagnosis, characterization and prognosis of chronic illness or long-term conditions," *Br. J. Radiol.*, vol. 87, no. 1040, 2014. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1259/bjr.20130832>.
- [26] T. Moore and J. Morton, "The myth of job readiness? Written communication, employability, and the 'skills gap' in higher education," *Stud. High. Educ.*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 591–609, 2017.
- [27] W. A. Com, S. Manevska, K. Asare, B. Danquah, C. F. Afful, J. Smerdova, and N. Manev, "Bridging the gap between university curriculum and industrial needs: A case study of teaching interpersonal skills," *Int. J. Organ. Leadership*, vol. 7, pp. 61–69, 2018.