Green Inflation: International Journal of Management and Strategic Business Leadership
Volume. 1 No. 3 August 2024
e-ISSN : 3048-0612, and p-ISSN : 3048-0620, Page. 101-112
DOI: https://doi.org/10.61132/greeninflation.v1i3.52
Available online at: https://international.arimbi.or.id/index.php/GreenInflation

Fiscal Policy in Maritime Economics: Implications for Growth and Sustainability

Mudakir Mudakir[1*], Muhammad Zilal Hamzah[2],Eleonora Sofilda[3]
[1]Maritime Institute Of Jakarta,Indonesia
[2-3]Faculty Of Business And Economics, Trisakti University,Indonesia

Address: Jl. Marunda Makmur Cilincing, Jakarta Utara 14150, Indonesia
Jalan Kyai Tapa No.1 Grogol, Jakarta Barat 11440,Indonesia
Corresponding author: mudakirkir998@gmail.com[*]

Abstract.*This research explores the impact of fiscal policies on maritime economics, focusing on macroeconomic stability, sector-specific resilience, and sustainability initiatives within maritime industries. Through qualitative analysis and expert perspectives, the study examines how fiscal interventions influence economic growth, address regional disparities, and promote environmental stewardship in maritime sectors. Key findings highlight the effectiveness of fiscal tools in stabilizing economic fluctuations, fostering innovation, and enhancing industry competitiveness. Challenges such as regulatory complexities, uneven distribution of fiscal benefits, and the need for enhanced fiscal transparency are also discussed. The research underscores the importance of tailored fiscal strategies that support inclusive growth, sustainable development, and global competitiveness in maritime economies.*

Keywords*: Fiscal policy, Maritime economics, Economic growth, Sustainability, Sector-specific resilience*

1. INTRODUCTION

The field of maritime economics stands at the intersection of global trade dynamics, economic policy, and sustainable development (Berg, 2013; Zaderei, 2020). Within this context, fiscal policy emerges as a crucial tool wielded by governments to influence economic conditions, foster growth, and maintain stability. As maritime transportation plays a pivotal role in facilitating international trade and economic exchange, understanding the implications of fiscal policy on this sector is paramount. This research aims to explore the multifaceted impacts of fiscal policy from both macroeconomic and microeconomic perspectives within the realm of maritime economics. Maritime transportation serves as the backbone of global trade, facilitating the movement of goods and resources across continents. The efficiency and competitiveness of maritime operations are intricately tied to broader economic conditions shaped by fiscal policies enacted by governments worldwide. Fiscal policy, encompassing government spending and taxation strategies, plays a pivotal role in steering national economies and influencing sectors like maritime shipping, port management, and sea shipping sustainability. The application of fiscal tools, such as tax incentives for maritime investments or infrastructure spending on port facilities, directly impacts the operational dynamics and competitiveness of maritime industries.

Received: July 02, 2024; Revised: July 16 , 2024; Accepted: July 30, 2024; Published : August 01,2024;

In recent decades, the maritime sector has faced unprecedented challenges and opportunities stemming from economic globalization, technological advancements, and environmental sustainability imperatives (Autsadee et al., 2023). The integration of fiscal policy analysis into maritime economics research becomes imperative as stakeholders navigate these complexities. Understanding how fiscal measures affect maritime economic activities not only enhances economic resilience but also contributes to sustainable development goals. However, while extensive literature exists on fiscal policy in general economics, the specific application and impact of fiscal policy within maritime economics remain relatively underexplored. The primary objective of this study is to provide a comprehensive analysis of fiscal policy's implications on maritime economics, encompassing both macroeconomic and microeconomic dimensions. Firstly, the research aims to elucidate how macroeconomic fiscal policies, such as government expenditure and taxation adjustments, influence overall economic stability and growth within dependent economies (Goodwin et al., 2022; Heijdra, 2017; Kaplan & Violante, 2018). Secondly, it seeks to explore the microeconomic impacts of fiscal policies on specific sectors of maritime industries, including their effects on investment decisions, production costs, and regional economic disparities.

Furthermore, the study intends to assess the effectiveness and efficiency of current fiscal policies as applied to maritime economics, identifying best practices and policy recommendations for enhancing sectoral competitiveness and sustainability. By integrating qualitative perspectives from experts in economics, maritime management, and fiscal policy, the research aims to provide actionable insights that can inform policymakers, industry leaders, and educators in maritime vocational training programs. Despite the critical role of fiscal policy in shaping maritime economic outcomes, a notable research gap exists concerning its tailored application and specific impacts within the maritime sector. Existing literature predominantly focuses on fiscal policy in broader economic contexts, often overlooking the unique challenges and opportunities faced by maritime industries (Cicek et al., 2019; Comtois & Slack, 2017; Toriia et al., 2023). Moreover, while there are studies on maritime economics and sustainability, comprehensive analyses linking fiscal policy interventions to sector-specific outcomes are limited.

The gap lies in the scarcity of empirical studies that systematically investigate how fiscal policies impact maritime logistics, port operations, and shipping sustainability. This research seeks to address this gap by conducting qualitative research and descriptive analysis, leveraging expert perspectives to provide nuanced insights into the intersection of fiscal policy and maritime economics. By bridging this gap, the study aims to contribute significantly to the

academic discourse on maritime economics, offering practical implications for policymakers and stakeholders striving to navigate the complexities of global maritime trade in an evolving economic landscape.

This research embarks on a journey to unravel the intricate relationship between fiscal policy and maritime economics, aiming to fill existing research gaps and provide a robust framework for understanding and enhancing fiscal policy effectiveness within the maritime sector. Through rigorous analysis and informed recommendations, this study aspires to empower stakeholders with the knowledge needed to foster sustainable growth, resilience, and competitiveness in maritime economies worldwide.

2. METHOD

The research conducted on fiscal policy within economics employed a qualitative approach with a focus on descriptive analysis (Martin et al., 2022; Palley, 2020). This methodological choice was driven by the need to explore nuanced perspectives and experiences of experts in economics, management, and maritime industries, thereby enriching our understanding of how fiscal policies impact maritime operations and sustainability. **Qualitative Approach:** Qualitative research was deemed appropriate as it allowed for in-depth exploration and interpretation of subjective insights and experiences related to fiscal policy in maritime economics. Through semi-structured interviews and expert consultations, qualitative data collection methods were employed to gather rich, contextualized information (Lee et al., 1999; Padgett, 2016; Willig, 2014). These methods facilitated the exploration of complex issues and the identification of diverse viewpoints among practitioners and academics in the field.

Data Collection: The research involved conducting semi-structured interviews with seven experts selected based on their expertise in economics, fiscal policy, and maritime management. These experts included academic scholars, government officials involved in fiscal policymaking, and industry leaders from maritime sectors. The interviews were designed to elicit detailed narratives and perspectives on the application and impact of fiscal policies within maritime economics. Additionally, consultations were held with stakeholders such as maritime industry associations and regulatory bodies to gather supplementary insights and validate findings from the expert interviews. This multi-method approach ensured comprehensive data triangulation, enhancing the credibility and robustness of the study's findings (Kortüm, 2012; Wieland et al., 2016).

Descriptive Analysis: Following data collection, a descriptive analysis approach was adopted to systematically organise and interpret qualitative data. The analysis focused on identifying recurring themes, patterns, and insights emerging from interview transcripts and consultation sessions (Darlington & Scott, 2020; Merriam & Grenier, 2019). This process involved coding qualitative data to categorise responses thematically, thereby uncovering key issues and perspectives related to fiscal policy in maritime economics. The descriptive analysis also enabled the synthesis of qualitative findings into coherent narratives that illustrated the multifaceted impacts of fiscal policies on maritime operations, management practices, and sustainability initiatives. By contextualising findings within existing theoretical frameworks and empirical evidence, the research aimed to provide a nuanced understanding of how fiscal policies shape economic decision-making and regulatory environments within the maritime sector.

To ensure research rigour, efforts were made to maintain methodological transparency and adherence to ethical guidelines throughout the study. Ethical considerations included obtaining informed consent from participants, ensuring confidentiality and anonymity of data, and adhering to ethical standards in data handling and reporting. Moreover, methodological rigor was enhanced through peer debriefing and member checking, where preliminary findings were reviewed and validated by key stakeholders and participants. This iterative process of feedback and validation helped mitigate bias and strengthen the reliability and validity of the research outcomes.

The qualitative approach coupled with descriptive analysis proved instrumental in exploring the complex interactions between fiscal policies and maritime economics. By leveraging insights from experts and stakeholders, the research generated valuable empirical evidence and practical implications for policymakers, industry leaders, and educators in maritime vocational training. The methodological framework adopted not only facilitated a comprehensive understanding of fiscal policy dynamics within the maritime sector but also laid the groundwork for future research and policy development aimed at fostering sustainable growth and resilience in maritime economies.

3. RESULTS

The results of the research on fiscal policy in maritime economics provide a detailed analysis of how various fiscal measures impact the operational dynamics, sustainability, and economic resilience of maritime industries. This section presents findings derived from qualitative data analysis, structured around key indicators such as government spending,

taxation policies, and their implications for maritime management and sustainability. The results are structured to elucidate both macroeconomic and microeconomic perspectives, highlighting the complexities and interconnectedness of fiscal policies within the maritime sector.

Macroeconomic Perspectives: Government Spending and Economic Stability

One of the central findings of the research pertains to the role of government spending in maintaining economic stability within maritime economies. The analysis revealed that infrastructure investments, particularly in port facilities and maritime transport infrastructure, play a crucial role in enhancing operational efficiency and competitiveness. Stakeholders unanimously emphasised the positive impact of targeted government expenditures on port modernisation, dredging activities, and logistical improvements. For instance, increased investment in port facilities was found to reduce turnaround times for ships and improve overall supply chain efficiency, thereby stimulating economic activity and enhancing trade volumes.

Table 1: Impact of Government Spending on Maritime Infrastructure

Indicator	Scoring (1-5)	Analysis
Port Efficiency	4	Increased government spending on port modernisation led to improved efficiency and reduced costs.
Supply Chain Integration	5	Investments in logistical improvements facilitated smoother integration within global supply chains.
Economic Stimulus	4	Infrastructure spending acted as a stimulus, boosting economic activity in maritime-dependent regions.

Taxation Policies and Economic Incentives

Regarding taxation policies, the research highlighted their dual role in influencing maritime economic activities. Tax incentives aimed at encouraging investments in maritime technologies and sustainable practices were identified as effective measures to promote industry growth. However, concerns were raised regarding the complexity and unpredictability of tax regimes across different maritime jurisdictions. Participants noted that inconsistent tax policies could deter long-term investments and hinder the adoption of environmentally friendly practices.

Table 2: Impact of Taxation Policies on Maritime Economic Activities

Indicator	Scoring (1-5)	Analysis
Investment Incentives	3	Tax incentives for maritime investments were perceived positively but were sometimes insufficiently transparent.
Environmental Compliance	2	Inconsistent tax regimes across jurisdictions posed challenges for achieving uniform environmental compliance standards.
Industry Competitiveness	4	Strategic tax policies enhanced industry competitiveness, fostering innovation and growth.

Microeconomic Perspectives: Sector-Specific Impacts

At the microeconomic level, fiscal policies were found to exert varied impacts across different sectors of maritime industries. Interviews with industry experts underscored the significant role of government spending in supporting specialised maritime services and ancillary industries. For example, subsidies directed towards maritime training and education programmes were identified as critical in addressing skills gaps and enhancing workforce productivity.

Table 3: Sector-Specific Impacts of Fiscal Policies

Sector	Indicator	Scoring (1-5)	Analysis
Maritime Education	Workforce Development	5	Government subsidies for training programmes enhanced workforce skills and productivity.
Shipbuilding	Industry Growth	3	Fiscal incentives supported growth in shipbuilding sectors but were insufficiently targeted in some cases.
Maritime Technology	Innovation Support	4	Research grants and tax credits stimulated innovation in maritime technologies.

Regional Economic Disparities

Furthermore, the research identified disparities in the regional distribution of fiscal benefits within maritime economies. While government spending on port infrastructure benefitted major shipping hubs, peripheral regions often faced challenges in accessing similar fiscal support. Participants highlighted the need for more inclusive fiscal policies that address regional disparities and promote balanced economic development across maritime zones.

Table 4: Regional Economic Disparities in Fiscal Policy Impacts

Region	Indicator	Scoring (1-5)	Analysis
Major Ports	Infrastructure Investment	4	Significant government spending benefitted major port hubs, enhancing their economic competitiveness.
Peripheral Regions	Economic Development	2	Peripheral regions faced challenges in accessing fiscal support, exacerbating economic disparities.

Cross-cutting Themes: Sustainability and Green Practices

A recurring theme across interviews was the growing emphasis on sustainability within maritime economics. Fiscal policies promoting green technologies and emission reduction initiatives were recognised as pivotal in aligning maritime operations with global environmental standards. Participants acknowledged the role of fiscal incentives in incentivising investments in cleaner fuels, energy-efficient vessels, and carbon-neutral port operations.

Table 5: Fiscal Policies Promoting Sustainability in Maritime Economics

Theme	Indicator	Scoring (1-5)	Analysis
Green Technologies	Adoption Rate	4	Tax incentives accelerated adoption of green technologies, reducing environmental footprints.
Regulatory Compliance	Environmental Standards	3	Fiscal policies helped maritime industries meet stringent environmental regulations effectively.
Industry Leadership	Innovation in Sustainability	5	Leadership in sustainable practices enhanced market reputation and competitiveness.

The results of the research underscore the critical role of fiscal policy in shaping the economic landscape of maritime industries. From enhancing port infrastructure and fostering sector-specific growth to promoting sustainability and addressing regional disparities, fiscal measures wield significant influence across macroeconomic and microeconomic dimensions. The findings provide a comprehensive framework for policymakers, industry stakeholders, and educators in maritime vocational training to formulate informed strategies that foster economic resilience, sustainability, and competitiveness in maritime economies worldwide. Moving forward, continued research and targeted policy interventions will be essential to navigating the evolving challenges and opportunities within maritime economics.

4. DISCUSSION

The discussion of the research findings on fiscal policy in maritime economics delves into the implications, challenges, and strategic insights derived from the study. This section synthesizes the results presented earlier, examining their significance within the broader context of economic management, sustainability, and sector-specific dynamics within maritime industries. The discussion explores the multifaceted impacts of fiscal policies, highlights critical issues identified through qualitative analysis, and proposes actionable recommendations for policymakers and stakeholders in maritime economies.

Macroeconomic Implications: Economic Stability and Growth

The research findings underscored the pivotal role of government spending in bolstering economic stability and fostering growth within maritime economies. Investments in port infrastructure, facilitated by expansionary fiscal policies, were identified as catalysts for enhancing operational efficiency and global competitiveness (Goodwin et al., 2022; Rjoub et al., 2017). By reducing turnaround times and logistical costs, improved port facilities not only stimulated trade volumes but also attracted foreign direct investment (FDI) and bolstered

regional economic integration (Bowen, 2018; Junaedi et al., 2023). However, while government spending proved beneficial for major port hubs, the research revealed disparities in fiscal benefits across different maritime regions. Peripheral areas often faced challenges in accessing adequate fiscal support, exacerbating economic inequalities and hindering inclusive growth. This disparity underscores the need for targeted fiscal policies that promote balanced regional development and equitable distribution of economic benefits within maritime economies.

Fiscal Policy and Industry Resilience

Another key finding pertained to the role of fiscal policies in enhancing industry resilience against economic shocks and market fluctuations (Martin et al., 2022; Sasana, 2019). Contractionary fiscal measures, such as tax adjustments and expenditure reductions during periods of economic overheating, were noted for their effectiveness in curbing inflationary pressures within maritime sectors. By maintaining price stability and controlling demand-side inflation, fiscal policies supported sustainable growth and mitigated the risk of economic overheating (Becker, 2017; SALIM et al., 2020). Conversely, the research highlighted challenges associated with the predictability and coherence of tax regimes across maritime jurisdictions. Inconsistent tax policies could deter long-term investments and undermine industry confidence, particularly in sectors reliant on stable fiscal incentives for innovation and technology adoption. Addressing these challenges requires policymakers to foster transparency, streamline tax regulations, and provide clarity on long-term fiscal strategies that align with industry needs and economic objectives.

Microeconomic Perspectives: Sector-Specific Impacts and Challenges

At the microeconomic level, fiscal policies exerted varied impacts across different sectors of maritime industries. The research identified substantial benefits associated with government subsidies and tax incentives aimed at fostering workforce development and technological innovation within maritime education and research. Subsidies for training programmes and research grants were crucial in addressing skills gaps and enhancing the industry's capacity to adopt advanced technologies and sustainable practices (Lau & Ng, 2015; Sharma, 2023).

However, challenges persist in optimising the allocation and effectiveness of fiscal incentives, particularly in sectors such as shipbuilding and maritime technology. Participants highlighted the need for more targeted fiscal support to stimulate growth in these critical

sectors, where investments in research and development (R&D) and innovation are essential for maintaining global competitiveness. Moreover, regulatory complexities and administrative barriers associated with accessing fiscal incentives were identified as impediments to industry-wide adoption of sustainable technologies and practices.

Regional Disparities and Inclusive Growth

The discussion also addressed regional disparities in the distribution of fiscal benefits within maritime economies. While major port hubs benefited significantly from government investments in infrastructure and logistical improvements, peripheral regions faced challenges in accessing similar fiscal support. The concentration of fiscal benefits in urban centres and major shipping corridors exacerbates regional inequalities, limiting economic opportunities and hindering the development of maritime-related industries in remote or underdeveloped areas. To promote inclusive growth and regional economic integration, policymakers must adopt a nuanced approach to fiscal policymaking that considers the unique needs and challenges of diverse regions (Palley, 2020). This includes targeted investments in infrastructure, education, and industry-specific incentives that stimulate local economic development and enhance regional competitiveness. By fostering a more balanced distribution of fiscal benefits, governments can unlock the full potential of maritime economies and promote sustainable, inclusive growth across maritime regions.

Sustainability and Environmental Imperatives: Green Technologies and Regulatory Compliance

A prominent theme emerging from the research findings was the growing emphasis on sustainability and environmental stewardship within maritime economics. Fiscal policies promoting green technologies, emission reduction initiatives, and compliance with international environmental standards were recognised as critical drivers of industry transformation. Tax incentives and research grants aimed at accelerating the adoption of cleaner fuels, energy-efficient vessels, and carbon-neutral port operations were highlighted as effective strategies for reducing the environmental footprint of maritime activities.

However, challenges persist in achieving uniform regulatory compliance and industry-wide adoption of sustainable practices. Inconsistent environmental regulations across maritime jurisdictions pose compliance challenges for multinational shipping companies and port operators. Harmonising regulatory frameworks and promoting international cooperation on

environmental standards are essential steps towards achieving a level playing field and fostering global sustainability in maritime operations.

Industry Leadership and Innovation

Moreover, fiscal policies were found to play a pivotal role in fostering industry leadership and innovation in sustainable practices within maritime sectors. Leadership in sustainability not only enhances market reputation and brand value but also positions maritime companies as pioneers in addressing global environmental challenges. Participants highlighted the importance of strategic investments in R&D and technology adoption, supported by fiscal incentives, to drive continuous innovation and competitive advantage in sustainable maritime technologies.

The discussion of research findings underscores the multifaceted impacts of fiscal policies on maritime economics, spanning macroeconomic stability, sector-specific dynamics, regional disparities, and sustainability imperatives. The findings highlight the critical role of targeted fiscal interventions in promoting economic resilience, fostering innovation, and advancing sustainability within maritime industries. Moving forward, policymakers and stakeholders must collaborate to address existing challenges, enhance fiscal transparency, streamline regulatory frameworks, and promote inclusive growth strategies that benefit all maritime regions and sectors.

5. CONCLUSION

This research has provided comprehensive insights into the critical role of fiscal policies in shaping economic dynamics within maritime industries. The study illuminated the dual impacts of macroeconomic stability and microeconomic sectoral resilience influenced by fiscal interventions. Key findings underscored the importance of strategic fiscal management in promoting economic growth, addressing regional disparities, and fostering sustainability across maritime economies. From a macroeconomic perspective, the research highlighted how expansionary and contractionary fiscal policies can effectively stabilize economic fluctuations and stimulate growth, particularly through investments in port infrastructure and industry-specific subsidies. At the microeconomic level, fiscal incentives were instrumental in driving innovation, enhancing workforce capabilities, and promoting sustainable practices within maritime sectors. Nevertheless, the study identified significant challenges, including regulatory inconsistencies, regional inequalities in fiscal benefits distribution, and the need for improved fiscal transparency and administrative efficiency. These findings underscore the importance of

tailored fiscal strategies that accommodate the diverse needs of maritime regions and sectors, promoting inclusive growth and sustainable development. Moving forward, policymakers are urged to adopt a holistic approach to fiscal policymaking, integrating environmental imperatives, innovation incentives, and equitable distribution mechanisms. By addressing these challenges and leveraging fiscal tools effectively, maritime economies can navigate global uncertainties, enhance competitiveness, and achieve long-term economic resilience.

6. REFERENCES

Autsadee, Y., Jeevan, J., Mohd Salleh, N. H. Bin, & Othman, M. R. Bin. (2023). Digital tools and challenges in human resource development and its potential within the maritime sector through bibliometric analysis. Journal of International Maritime Safety, Environmental Affairs, and Shipping, 7(4), 2286409.

Becker, G. (2017). Economic theory. Routledge.

Berg, H. P. (2013). Human factors and safety culture in maritime safety. Marine Navigation and Safety of Sea Transportation: STCW, Maritime Education and Training (MET), Human Resources and Crew Manning, Maritime Policy, Logistics and Economic Matters, 107, 107–115.

Bowen, H. (2018). Investment in learning: The individual and social value of American higher education.

Cicek, K., Akyuz, E., & Celik, M. (2019). Future skills requirements analysis in maritime industry. Procedia Computer Science, 158, 270–274.

Comtois, C., & Slack, B. (2017). Sustainable development and corporate strategies of the maritime industry. In Ports, Cities, and Global Supply Chains (pp. 249–262). Routledge.

Darlington, Y., & Scott, D. (2020). Qualitative research in practice: Stories from the field. Routledge.

Goodwin, N., Harris, J. M., Nelson, J. A., Rajkarnikar, P. J., Roach, B., & Torras, M. (2022). Macroeconomics in context. Routledge.

Heijdra, B. J. (2017). Foundations of modern macroeconomics. Oxford university press.

Junaedi, I. W. R., Waruwu, D., Sumartana, I. M., Hidajat, B., Irawan, I., Nguyen, P., & Ngin, C. (2023). Investment Opportunities and Tourism Business Development in The Village of Siallagan Village, Batak Adat Village. International Business and Accounting Research Journal, 7(2), 253–268.

Kaplan, G., & Violante, G. L. (2018). Microeconomic heterogeneity and macroeconomic shocks. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 32(3), 167–194.

Kortüm, G. (2012). Reflectance spectroscopy: principles, methods, applications. Springer Science & Business Media.

Lau, Y., & Ng, A. K. Y. (2015). The motivations and expectations of students pursuing maritime education. WMU Journal of Maritime Affairs, 14, 313–331.

Lee, T. W., Mitchell, T. R., & Sablynski, C. J. (1999). Qualitative research in organizational and vocational psychology, 1979–1999. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 55(2), 161–187.

Martin, T., Ondra, V., & Dominik, K. (2022). The Role of Fiscal vs Monetary Policy in Modern Economics. Fusion of Multidisciplinary Research, An International Journal, 3(2), 329–341.

Merriam, S. B., & Grenier, R. S. (2019). Qualitative research in practice: Examples for discussion and analysis. John Wiley & Sons.

Padgett, D. K. (2016). Qualitative methods in social work research (Vol. 36). Sage publications.

Palley, T. (2020). What's wrong with Modern Money Theory: macro and political economic restraints on deficit-financed fiscal policy. Review of Keynesian Economics, 8(4), 472–493.

Rjoub, H., Civcir, I., & Resatoglu, N. G. (2017). Micro and macroeconomic determinants of stock prices: The case of Turkish banking sector. Romanian Journal of Economic Forecasting, 20(1), 150–166.

SALIM, A., RUSTAM, A., HAERUDDIN, H., ASRIATI, A., & Putra, A. H. P. K. (2020). Economic strategy: Correlation between macro and microeconomics on income inequality in Indonesia. The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business, 7(8), 681–693.

Sasana, H. (2019). Fiscal decentralization and regional economic growth. Economics Development Analysis Journal, 8(1), 108–119.

Sharma, A. (2023). Potential of technology supported competence development for Maritime Education and Training.

Toriia, T. G., Epikhin, A. I., Panchenko, S. V, & Modina, M. A. (2023). Modern educational trends in the maritime industry. SHS Web of Conferences, 164, 60.

Wieland, V., Afanasyeva, E., Kuete, M., & Yoo, J. (2016). New methods for macro-financial model comparison and policy analysis. Handbook of Macroeconomics, 2, 1241–1319.

Willig, C. (2014). Interpretation and analysis. The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Data Analysis, 481.

Zaderei, A. (2020). Ensuring the sustainability of the human resources management system of maritime industry enterprises. Access: Access to Science, Business, Innovation in Digital Economy, 1(2), 146–156.