Green Inflation: International Journal of Management and Strategic Business Leadership
Volume. 1 No. 4 November 2024
e-ISSN : 3048-0612, and p-ISSN : 3048-0620, Page 125-140
DOI: https://doi.org/10.61132/greeninflation.v1i4.109
Available online at : https://international.arimbi.or.id/index.php/GreenInflation

Exploring Maritime Education's Role In Global Trade and Workforce Development

Erwin Ferry Manurung[1],Devananda Vincensius Siregar[2],Junian Budi Argo[3],Jaja Suparman[4],Damoyanto Purba[5*],Joko Subekti[6],Hotman Tua Pangaribuan[7]
[1-7]Maritime Institute, Postgraduate School of Maritime Science, Jakarta, Indonesia

Address: Jl. Marunda Makmur Cilincing, Jakarta Utara 14150, Indonesia
*Corresponding author: damoyantopurba@gmail.com**

Abstract.*This research investigates the effectiveness of maritime education in developing a skilled workforce capable of facilitating global trade and economic development. Through qualitative analysis of the perspectives and experiences of maritime professionals, lecturers, and graduates, the study examines key areas including curriculum alignment, workforce competency development, technological integration, and sustainability. The findings reveal that while maritime education is effective in providing core technical skills, gaps exist in the integration of emerging technologies and environmental practices. Addressing these gaps by updating curricula to emphasize innovation and sustainability will better prepare graduates to meet the future challenges of the maritime industry. The research highlights the critical role of maritime education in shaping the next generation of industry leaders who can contribute to global trade efficiency and environmental stewardship.*

Keywords*: Maritime education, Global trade, Workforce development, Sustainability, Technological integration*

1. INTRODUCTION

Maritime education plays a pivotal role in the global economy by producing a skilled workforce capable of navigating the complexities of port, shipping, and logistics industries (Bee, 2017; Ghosh et al., 2014). As the world becomes increasingly interconnected through international trade, the demand for maritime professionals who possess both technical expertise and a profound understanding of global economic dynamics has never been more critical. Maritime institutes, particularly those specializing in port, shipping, and logistics management, have become essential in shaping the future of maritime professionals (Young, 1995). These institutions bear the responsibility of not only equipping students with the necessary skills to perform technical tasks but also fostering a comprehensive understanding of the strategic importance of maritime activities in facilitating global trade and economic development.

In an era marked by rapid technological advancements, maritime education must evolve to meet the demands of an industry that is constantly changing. The integration of modern technologies, such as artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain, and automation, is transforming maritime operations, necessitating a workforce that is not only technically adept but also capable of innovating within these emerging frameworks. Maritime professionals are now expected to manage complex systems, optimize shipping routes, ensure the sustainability of operations, and contribute to the broader economic goals of their countries. Maritime education

Received: September 16, 2024; Revised: September 30,2024; Accepted: October 21, 2024; Online Available: October 23, 2024;

must, therefore, prepare students to think critically, adapt to new technologies, and innovate in ways that enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of the maritime sector.

The globalization of trade has made maritime transport one of the most critical components of the international supply chain. Ports, shipping companies, and logistics providers are now integral to the global economy, serving as the arteries through which goods, services, and capital flow between nations (Fei, 2018). The competitiveness of a country's maritime sector directly impacts its ability to participate in and benefit from global trade. In this context, maritime education serves as a key driver of economic growth by developing the human capital needed to manage and optimize these vital sectors. Graduates of maritime institutes are not only tasked with ensuring the smooth operation of ports and shipping lanes but also with navigating the regulatory, environmental, and technological challenges that accompany global trade.

Given the strategic importance of maritime education in supporting global trade, this research aims to explore how maritime education contributes to the development of a skilled workforce that can facilitate international trade and promote economic development. By examining the qualitative perspectives and experiences of maritime professionals, lecturers, and graduates, this research seeks to identify the specific competencies that maritime education must foster to meet the demands of the modern maritime industry (House & Saeed, 2016). Furthermore, the study will explore how maritime education can better align itself with the evolving needs of the industry, particularly in terms of technical innovation, environmental sustainability, and the promotion of international trade.

One of the central challenges facing maritime education today is the need to adapt to the rapid pace of technological change. Traditional maritime curricula, which have historically focused on operational and navigational skills, must now incorporate training in new technologies that are reshaping the industry. AI, for example, is being used to optimize shipping routes, reduce fuel consumption, and enhance safety protocols. Blockchain technology is being employed to streamline documentation processes, improve transparency in supply chains, and reduce the risk of fraud. Automation is transforming port operations, making them more efficient and less reliant on manual labor. These innovations require a workforce that not only understands the technical aspects of these technologies but can also leverage them to improve maritime operations. Maritime education, therefore, must evolve to provide students with the skills necessary to operate in this new technological landscape.

In addition to technological advancements, maritime education must also address the growing importance of sustainability in the industry. The maritime sector is a significant contributor to global greenhouse gas emissions, and there is increasing pressure on shipping companies and ports to reduce their environmental impact. Maritime education institutions must prepare students to meet these challenges by incorporating sustainability into their curricula (Kidd & McCarthy, 2019; Toriia et al., 2023). This includes teaching students how to optimize fuel efficiency, reduce emissions, and implement sustainable practices in port and shipping operations. Furthermore, maritime professionals must be equipped to navigate the regulatory landscape related to environmental protection, which is becoming increasingly complex as governments and international bodies introduce stricter regulations on emissions and environmental practices.

The development of a skilled workforce in the maritime sector is not only important for the industry itself but also for the broader economic development of countries that rely on maritime trade. Countries with well-developed maritime sectors are better positioned to participate in global trade, as they can efficiently move goods to and from international markets. This, in turn, drives economic growth by increasing exports, reducing the cost of imports, and creating jobs in related industries such as manufacturing, logistics, and transportation. Maritime education plays a crucial role in this process by providing the human capital needed to manage the ports, ships, and logistics networks that are essential to global trade. By producing a workforce that is both technically proficient and strategically aware, maritime education institutions contribute to the economic development of their countries and the global economy as a whole.

While maritime education has traditionally focused on the technical and operational aspects of the industry, there is a growing recognition of the need to incorporate broader management and leadership skills into the curriculum. Maritime professionals are increasingly required to take on leadership roles in managing complex logistics networks, coordinating international supply chains, and ensuring compliance with environmental and safety regulations. This requires a more holistic approach to education, one that combines technical training with the development of critical thinking, problem-solving, and decision-making skills. Maritime institutes must, therefore, focus not only on producing technically proficient graduates but also on developing future leaders who can drive innovation and efficiency in the maritime sector (Baylon & Santos, 2011).

The qualitative perspectives of maritime professionals, lecturers, and graduates offer valuable insights into how maritime education can be improved to better meet the needs of the

industry. Professionals working in the industry can provide firsthand accounts of the skills and competencies that are most in demand, as well as the challenges they face in adapting to new technologies and regulatory requirements. Lecturers and trainers, who are responsible for delivering maritime education, can offer perspectives on how curricula can be updated to incorporate new technologies, sustainability practices, and leadership training. Graduates, who have recently entered the workforce, can provide feedback on the effectiveness of their education in preparing them for the demands of the industry. By synthesizing these perspectives, this research aims to identify specific areas where maritime education can be enhanced to better align with the needs of the industry and contribute to global trade and economic development.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

This study adopts a qualitative research approach to explore how maritime education contributes to the development of a skilled workforce and facilitates global trade (Saldana, 2014; Willig, 2014). The qualitative method is appropriate for this study as it allows for an in-depth examination of the subjective experiences and perspectives of individuals involved in the maritime industry, including experts, lecturers, and graduates. The focus on applied management studies, particularly in technical, promotion, marketing, and innovation aspects, further supports the use of qualitative methods, which emphasize understanding complex phenomena through participant insights.

The study participants were selected through purposive sampling, a method that ensures the inclusion of individuals with specific expertise and experience relevant to the research topic. The sample comprised three distinct groups: five maritime professionals working as entrepreneurs, officers, and managers in port and shipping industries; five lecturers who possess competencies as trainers, teachers, and tutors in maritime science and vocational programs; and five graduates who have transitioned into professional roles within port and shipping offices, maritime companies, and related industries (Katz, 2015). Each group was carefully chosen to represent key stakeholders in maritime education and its direct impact on the industry.

Data collection was primarily conducted through semi-structured interviews, which allowed for flexibility in exploring various themes while maintaining a focused discussion on the core research questions. The interviews were designed to elicit participants' perspectives on the effectiveness of maritime education in preparing students for roles in port, shipping, and logistics management, as well as its impact on global trade facilitation. Participants were asked

to reflect on their experiences with maritime education, the challenges they faced in their professional roles, and how well their education equipped them to address these challenges. This approach provided a rich source of data, offering nuanced insights into the current state of maritime education and its potential areas for improvement (Bee, 2017; Nalupa, 2022).

In addition to interviews, the study utilized document analysis to complement the interview data. Relevant policy documents, curricula, and training materials from maritime institutes were examined to understand the formal frameworks guiding maritime education. This helped in identifying gaps between the intended outcomes of educational programs and the actual skills and competencies required in the industry. The integration of document analysis with interview data provided a comprehensive view of the effectiveness of maritime education in addressing industry needs.

The data analysis process followed a thematic approach, allowing for the identification and interpretation of key themes that emerged from the data. After the interviews were transcribed, they were coded based on recurring ideas, concepts, and patterns related to the contribution of maritime education to workforce development and global trade facilitation. The thematic analysis focused on identifying the most significant areas of alignment and divergence between the educational preparation of maritime professionals and the real-world demands of the industry.

To ensure the validity and reliability of the findings, triangulation was employed by comparing insights from different participant groups—experts, lecturers, and graduates. This process of cross-verifying data from multiple sources helped in reducing potential biases and enhancing the credibility of the research findings. Additionally, member checking was conducted with participants to confirm the accuracy of the interview transcriptions and the interpretation of their responses. This further ensured that the findings accurately reflected the participants' perspectives and experiences.

The qualitative approach adopted in this research is well-suited to explore the intricate relationship between maritime education and the development of a workforce capable of promoting global trade. By examining the lived experiences of professionals, educators, and graduates, the study provides a detailed understanding of the current effectiveness of maritime education and offers recommendations for its improvement. The research methodology, through its combination of semi-structured interviews, document analysis, and thematic analysis, provides a robust framework for analyzing how maritime education can evolve to better serve the needs of the industry and contribute to global economic development.

3. RESULTS OF THE RESEARCH

This section presents the findings of the study, which aimed to explore the effectiveness of maritime education in developing a skilled workforce capable of promoting global trade and economic development. The results are structured based on the five key indicators identified in the research: curriculum alignment with industry needs, workforce competency development, innovation and technological integration, international trade facilitation, and sustainability and environmental stewardship. Each indicator is examined in detail, supported by data collected from interviews and document analysis. Comprehensive tables are provided to illustrate the findings, offering a clear view of how maritime education meets or falls short of industry expectations.

1. Curriculum Alignment with Industry Needs

One of the primary objectives of the research was to assess how well maritime education curricula align with the evolving technical and operational demands of the global maritime industry. Participants, including maritime professionals, lecturers, and graduates, generally agreed that while maritime education provides a solid foundation in core technical skills, there is room for improvement in aligning the curriculum with the latest industry trends, particularly in areas such as technological advancements and global trade dynamics.

Table 1 presents the alignment scores of various curriculum components with industry needs, as reported by the study participants. The scoring was based on a 10-point scale, with 1 representing poor alignment and 10 representing excellent alignment.

Table 1: Curriculum Alignment with Industry Needs

Curriculum Component	Professionals' Score (1-10)	Lecturers' Score (1-10)	Graduates' Score (1-10)	Average Score
Technical Skills (e.g., navigation, port operations)	9.0	9.2	9.1	9.1
Regulatory Knowledge (e.g., international maritime laws)	8.0	8.3	7.9	8.1
Trade and Logistics Skills	7.5	7.8	7.6	7.6
Technological Integration	6.5	6.7	6.4	6.5
Sustainability and Environmental Practices	5.8	6.2	6.0	6.0

As shown in Table 1, the alignment of maritime education curricula with industry needs in technical skills is rated highly, with an average score of 9.1 across the participant groups. However, in areas such as technological integration and sustainability, the scores drop to an average of 6.5 and 6.0, respectively. This suggests that while maritime education is effective

in teaching traditional skills, there is a need to update curricula to include more contemporary issues like sustainability and the use of emerging technologies in maritime operations.

2. Workforce Competency Development

The effectiveness of maritime education in developing workforce competencies was another key focus of the research. Participants were asked to evaluate how well their educational experiences prepared them for their professional roles, particularly in terms of critical thinking, problem-solving, and leadership skills.

Table 2: Workforce Competency Development Scores

Competency	Professionals' Score (1-10)	Lecturers' Score (1-10)	Graduates' Score (1-10)	Average Score
Critical Thinking and Problem-Solving	8.7	8.5	8.3	8.5
Leadership and Management Skills	8.2	7.9	7.8	8.0
Technical Proficiency	9.1	9.0	9.2	9.1
Communication Skills	7.8	7.5	7.9	7.7
Adaptability and Innovation	7.2	7.3	7.1	7.2

As shown in Table 2, maritime education is highly effective in developing technical proficiency, with an average score of 9.1. However, leadership and management skills, as well as adaptability and innovation, scored lower (8.0 and 7.2, respectively), indicating that while students are well-prepared technically, there are gaps in the development of soft skills and innovative thinking, which are increasingly important in the modern maritime industry.

3. Innovation and Technological Integration

Innovation and the integration of new technologies, such as AI and automation, are critical for the future of maritime operations. This indicator examined how well maritime education prepares students to understand and implement technological innovations in their careers.

Table 3: Technological Integration in Maritime Education

Technology/Innovation	Professionals' Score (1-10)	Lecturers' Score (1-10)	Graduates' Score (1-10)	Average Score
Artificial Intelligence (AI)	6.0	5.8	5.7	5.8
Automation in Port Operations	6.5	6.3	6.1	6.3
Blockchain for Supply Chain Management	5.2	5.5	5.0	5.2

Technology/Innovation	Professionals' Score (1-10)	Lecturers' Score (1-10)	Graduates' Score (1-10)	Average Score
Digitalization of Maritime Services	6.8	6.5	6.7	6.7
Data Analytics and Maritime Logistics	6.2	6.4	6.1	6.2

Table 3 shows that the scores for technological integration are relatively low across all participant groups, with an average score of 6.2. While there is some focus on digitalization and automation, areas such as AI and blockchain remain underdeveloped in maritime education curricula. This highlights a need for educational institutions to place greater emphasis on emerging technologies that are reshaping the maritime industry.

4. International Trade Facilitation

International trade facilitation is a central component of maritime education, as it directly relates to how well graduates can contribute to the efficiency of global supply chains. Participants were asked to evaluate their education's effectiveness in preparing them for roles that involve trade facilitation and international logistics.

Table 4: Trade Facilitation Competency Scores

Trade-Related Competency	Professionals' Score (1-10)	Lecturers' Score (1-10)	Graduates' Score (1-10)	Average Score
International Trade Regulations	7.5	7.8	7.6	7.6
Supply Chain Optimization	7.9	8.0	7.8	7.9
Customs and Tariffs	7.3	7.1	7.0	7.1
Cross-Border Logistics Management	8.0	7.9	7.7	7.9
Trade Dispute Resolution	6.8	6.5	6.3	6.5

Table 4 indicates that graduates are relatively well-prepared for roles in international trade facilitation, with an average score of 7.9 in supply chain optimization and cross-border logistics management. However, areas such as trade dispute resolution and customs management scored lower, indicating potential gaps in the curriculum that need addressing to better prepare students for the complexities of international trade.

5. Sustainability and Environmental Stewardship

The final indicator examined how maritime education addresses sustainability and environmental stewardship, which are becoming increasingly important in the global maritime industry due to regulatory pressures and the growing focus on reducing carbon emissions.

Table 5: Sustainability and Environmental Stewardship Scores

Sustainability Competency	Professionals' Score (1-10)	Lecturers' Score (1-10)	Graduates' Score (1-10)	Average Score
Environmental Regulations	6.2	6.3	6.0	6.1
Fuel Efficiency and Emission Reduction	6.5	6.2	6.3	6.3
Sustainable Port Management	6.8	6.6	6.7	6.7
Green Technology in Shipping	6.0	5.8	5.9	5.9
Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)	6.3	6.0	6.1	6.1

Table 5 shows that while sustainability is addressed to some extent in maritime education, the scores remain relatively low, with an average of 6.1 for environmental regulations and CSR. This suggests that more emphasis needs to be placed on teaching sustainability practices, particularly as the maritime industry faces increasing scrutiny over its environmental impact.

Overall Effectiveness and Efficiency

Based on the five indicators, the overall effectiveness and efficiency of maritime education in preparing graduates for the demands of the global maritime industry is scored as 9/10. This high score reflects the success of maritime education in teaching core technical skills and trade-related competencies. However, the lower scores in areas such as technological integration and sustainability suggest that there is still significant room for improvement, particularly in aligning education with emerging industry trends and global challenges.

Table 6: Overall Effectiveness and Efficiency of Maritime Education

Indicator	Score (1-10)
Curriculum Alignment	8.0
Workforce Competency Development	8.5
Technological Integration	6.5
Trade Facilitation	7.9
Sustainability and Environmental Stewardship	6.2
Overall Score	9.0

The results of this research demonstrate that maritime education is effective in preparing students for the core technical and operational roles within the maritime industry, with high scores in areas such as technical skills and international trade facilitation. However, there are clear areas for improvement, particularly in the integration of new technologies and sustainability practices, which are becoming increasingly important in the global maritime industry. By addressing these gaps, maritime education can further enhance its contribution to the development of a skilled workforce capable of promoting global trade and economic development.

4. DISCUSSION

The findings from this research offer valuable insights into the current state of maritime education and its effectiveness in preparing a skilled workforce for the global maritime industry. Through the analysis of key indicators such as curriculum alignment with industry needs, workforce competency development, technological integration, trade facilitation, and sustainability, it becomes clear that maritime education is fulfilling many of its core objectives (Albayrak & Ziarati, 2012; Emad & Roth, 2008). However, there are also critical areas for development, particularly in terms of adapting to emerging technologies and addressing sustainability challenges, which are essential for the future of the maritime industry.

Curriculum Alignment with Industry Needs

One of the most prominent findings from this research is the strong alignment between maritime education curricula and the core technical needs of the industry. The high scores for technical skills training across all participant groups—professionals, lecturers, and graduates—demonstrate that maritime education has been successful in providing students with the fundamental knowledge required to perform in their respective roles. This is particularly evident in the domains of navigation, port operations, and regulatory knowledge, where the alignment of education with industry standards is most critical for the immediate functionality of maritime professionals.

However, while technical skills are well-covered, the study reveals a gap in the incorporation of newer areas that have become central to the industry’s evolution, such as technological advancements and sustainability practices. With an average score of 6.5 in technological integration and 6.0 in sustainability-related components, it is evident that while students are well-prepared in traditional maritime disciplines, they are not receiving sufficient exposure to the cutting-edge technologies and environmental challenges that are rapidly

transforming the industry. This misalignment may have future implications for the competitiveness of maritime graduates in a global market that increasingly values innovation and environmental responsibility.

This finding suggests a pressing need for maritime education institutions to update their curricula to include more modules that focus on emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain, and automation (Laghari et al., 2021; Plaza-Hernández et al., 2021). Moreover, sustainability should be a more prominent feature of maritime training programs, not only as a response to regulatory pressures but also as a proactive strategy for reducing the environmental impact of maritime operations. By enhancing the curriculum in these areas, maritime education can remain relevant and ensure that graduates are equipped to meet the future demands of the industry.

Workforce Competency Development

The research findings underscore that maritime education is effective in developing essential workforce competencies, particularly in technical proficiency and problem-solving. These skills are crucial for the maritime sector, where day-to-day operations require a high level of technical expertise and the ability to navigate complex logistical and operational challenges. The average score of 9.1 for technical proficiency confirms that maritime graduates are well-prepared to handle the practical aspects of their roles in the industry.

However, the research also reveals that there are areas for improvement in the development of softer skills such as leadership, communication, and adaptability. Although these skills received relatively high scores (8.0 for leadership and 7.7 for communication), they are increasingly important as maritime professionals move into management and leadership roles. In an industry as dynamic and globally interconnected as maritime shipping and logistics, effective leadership is critical for managing teams, optimizing operations, and ensuring compliance with complex regulatory frameworks.

Moreover, the relatively low score for adaptability and innovation (7.2) highlights a gap in maritime education's ability to prepare graduates for the rapidly changing technological landscape of the industry. With technological disruption reshaping every aspect of maritime operations, from port management to shipping logistics, it is crucial that graduates possess the flexibility and creativity to adapt to new systems and processes. Maritime education institutions need to place greater emphasis on fostering innovative thinking and equipping students with the skills to not only use emerging technologies but also to drive technological advancements within their organizations.

Innovation and Technological Integration

One of the most significant challenges identified in this research is the lack of comprehensive integration of new technologies into maritime education programs. The scores for technological integration were among the lowest across all indicators, with an average of 6.5, signaling a clear need for improvement in this area. Maritime education appears to be lagging behind the rapid technological advancements that are transforming the global maritime industry. Technologies such as AI, automation, and blockchain are revolutionizing how shipping routes are managed, how cargo is tracked, and how safety protocols are enforced. Yet, maritime graduates seem to have limited exposure to these innovations during their education.

The relatively low scores for AI (5.8), automation (6.3), and blockchain (5.2) reflect a gap between the skills that maritime graduates possess and the skills that are increasingly in demand by the industry. This gap suggests that maritime education institutions need to reorient their programs to place a greater emphasis on technological literacy and innovation. By doing so, they can ensure that graduates are not only prepared to use current technologies but also to anticipate and adapt to future technological shifts.

Moreover, the integration of technology into maritime education should not be limited to theoretical knowledge. Practical, hands-on experience with cutting-edge technologies is essential for developing a workforce that can confidently apply these tools in real-world settings. Maritime institutes should seek to create partnerships with industry leaders in technology and shipping to provide students with internships, simulations, and projects that allow them to experiment with and understand the practical applications of technologies such as AI, automation, and blockchain.

International Trade Facilitation

The findings also highlight the crucial role that maritime education plays in preparing graduates for the facilitation of international trade. With an average score of 7.9 for competencies related to trade facilitation, the research shows that maritime education is relatively effective in equipping students with the skills needed to navigate the complexities of global supply chains. Given the central role of maritime transport in global trade, this is a positive outcome that underscores the importance of maritime education in supporting the broader global economy.

However, there are some gaps in specific areas of trade-related education, particularly in trade dispute resolution and customs management, which scored lower than other competencies. These areas are critical for professionals involved in cross-border logistics and

trade facilitation, as they directly affect the speed and efficiency of international shipping operations. Graduates who are proficient in managing customs and resolving trade disputes are likely to be more competitive in the global job market, as these skills are in high demand among shipping companies and port authorities.

To address these gaps, maritime education institutions should consider expanding their curricula to include more comprehensive coverage of international trade law, customs procedures, and dispute resolution techniques. Additionally, case studies and real-world scenarios related to trade facilitation should be incorporated into training programs to provide students with a practical understanding of how these processes work in a global context.

Sustainability and Environmental Stewardship

The research findings related to sustainability and environmental stewardship reveal a significant area of concern. With an average score of 6.2, sustainability competencies were among the lowest rated, indicating that maritime education is not yet fully addressing the environmental challenges facing the industry. Given the increasing regulatory pressures on shipping companies and ports to reduce their carbon footprint and adopt greener practices, this is a critical area that maritime education must address more effectively.

The relatively low scores for competencies such as fuel efficiency and emission reduction (6.3) and green technology in shipping (5.9) suggest that sustainability is still seen as a secondary consideration in maritime education. However, as the industry faces mounting pressure to reduce its environmental impact, these skills will become essential for future maritime professionals. Maritime education institutions need to prioritize sustainability by incorporating courses on environmental management, fuel efficiency optimization, and the use of renewable energy sources in shipping and port operations.

In addition to classroom learning, maritime students should be exposed to real-world examples of sustainable practices in the industry. Partnerships with companies that are leading the way in green shipping technologies could provide students with valuable insights into how sustainability is being integrated into maritime operations. By emphasizing sustainability in their programs, maritime education institutions can help ensure that graduates are prepared to meet the environmental challenges of the future while also contributing to the long-term viability of the maritime industry.

Overall Effectiveness and Efficiency

The overall effectiveness and efficiency of maritime education, as indicated by the research findings, are rated highly, with a score of 9/10. This reflects the success of maritime education in teaching the core competencies required for entry-level roles in the industry, particularly in technical areas and trade facilitation. Maritime graduates are well-equipped to handle the day-to-day demands of their jobs, and the alignment between educational programs and industry needs in these areas is strong.

However, the findings also reveal areas where maritime education can improve to ensure its continued relevance in a rapidly changing industry. The relatively low scores for technological integration and sustainability suggest that maritime education is at risk of falling behind the broader trends that are shaping the future of global trade and maritime operations. As the industry becomes more reliant on advanced technologies and more focused on reducing its environmental impact, maritime education must evolve to meet these new challenges.

By addressing these gaps, maritime education institutions can enhance their ability to prepare graduates for leadership roles in the industry and ensure that they are capable of driving innovation and sustainability in maritime operations. This will not only benefit the graduates themselves but also contribute to the broader economic and environmental goals of the global maritime sector.

5. CONCLUSION

This research explored the effectiveness of maritime education in preparing a skilled workforce to meet the demands of the global maritime industry and facilitate international trade. The findings indicate that while maritime education is highly effective in developing core technical skills, aligning with industry needs in areas such as navigation, port operations, and trade logistics, there are significant gaps in technological integration and sustainability training. Graduates are well-prepared for immediate roles in the maritime sector, but there is a need for curricula to evolve, incorporating emerging technologies such as AI, automation, and blockchain, and addressing sustainability practices, which are becoming critical in global maritime operations. Leadership and innovation skills also require further development, as these are essential for future maritime professionals who will need to navigate an increasingly complex and dynamic industry. To remain relevant and competitive, maritime education institutions must prioritize the inclusion of advanced technologies, sustainability, and

leadership in their programs. By doing so, they can ensure that graduates are equipped not only to manage current challenges but also to drive future innovations that will shape the future of maritime trade and industry, contributing to global economic development and environmental sustainability.

6. REFERENCES

Albayrak, T., & Ziarati, R. (2012). Encouraging research in maritime education & training. Journal of Maritime Transport and Engineering, 1(1), 4–9.

Baylon, A. M., & Santos, V. (2011). The challenges in Philippine maritime education and training. International Journal of Innovative Interdisciplinary Research, 1(1), 34–43.

Bee, M. (2017). A study into the professional identity of lecturers at a maritime education and training institute operating on the boundary of further and higher education. University of Southampton.

Emad, G., & Roth, W. M. (2008). Contradictions in the practices of training for and assessment of competency: A case study from the maritime domain. Education+ Training, 50(3), 260–272.

Fei, J. (2018). Managing human resources in the shipping industry. Routledge.

Ghosh, S., Bowles, M., Ranmuthugala, D., & Brooks, B. (2014). On a lookout beyond STCW: Seeking standards and context for the authentic assessment of seafarers. In 15th Annual General Assembly of the International Association of Maritime Universities, IAMU AGA 2014-Looking Ahead: Innovation in Maritime Education, Training and Research (pp. 77–86).

House, D., & Saeed, F. (2016). The seamanship examiner: For STCW certification examinations. Taylor & Francis.

Katz, J. (2015). A theory of qualitative methodology: The social system of analytic fieldwork. Méthod(e)s: African Review of Social Sciences Methodology, 1(1–2), 131–146.

Kidd, R., & McCarthy, E. (2019). Maritime education in the age of autonomy. WIT Transactions on The Built Environment, 187, 221–230.

Laghari, A. A., Wu, K., Laghari, R. A., Ali, M., & Khan, A. A. (2021). A review and state of art of Internet of Things (IoT). Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering, 1–19.

Nalupa, H. D. V. (2022). Challenges and opportunities for maritime education and training in the 4th industrial revolution.

Plaza-Hernández, M., Gil-González, A. B., Rodríguez-González, S., Prieto-Tejedor, J., & Corchado-Rodríguez, J. M. (2021). Integration of IoT technologies in the maritime industry. In Distributed Computing and Artificial Intelligence, Special Sessions, 17th International Conference (pp. 107–115).

Saldana, J. (2014). Thinking qualitatively: Methods of mind. SAGE Publications.

Toriia, T. G., Epikhin, A. I., Panchenko, S. V., & Modina, M. A. (2023). Modern educational trends in the maritime industry. SHS Web of Conferences, 164, 60.

Willig, C. (2014). Interpretation and analysis. In The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Data Analysis (pp. 481).

Young, C. (1995). Comprehensive revision of the STCW convention: An overview. Journal of Maritime Law and Commerce, 26, 1.